5 Hard Truths About Generative Al for Technology Leaders



GenAl that drives real business value takes real work. But it's worth it.

GenAl is everywhere you look, and organizations across industries are putting pressure on their teams to join the race – 77% of business leaders fear they're already missing out on the benefits of GenAl.

Data teams are scrambling to answer the call. But building a generative AI model that actually drives business value is hard.

And in the long run, a quick integration with the OpenAI API won't cut it. It's GenAI, but where's the



moat? Why should users pick you over ChatGPT? That guick check of the box feels like a step for- Let me give you an example. Think about a producvalue, you're behind.

data leaders just this week on this topic alone. It one Al credit. wasn't lost on any of them that this is a race. At the Yes, that's helpful, but it's not differentiated. finish line there are going to be winners and losers. The Blockbusters and the Netflixes.

ting about "bubbles" and "hype," I've rounded up 5 hard truths to help shake off the complacency.

Hard truth #1: Your generative AI features are not well adopted and you're slow to monetize.

"Barr, if GenAl is so important, why are the current features we've implemented so poorly adopted?" Well, there are a few reasons. One, your Al initiative wasn't built as a response to an influx of welldefined user problems. For most data teams, that's because you're racing and it's early and you want to gain some experience.

However, it won't be long before your users have a problem that's best solved by GenAl, and when that happens - you will have much better adoption compared to your tiger team brainstorming ways to tie GenAl to a use case.

And because it's early, the generative AI features that have been integrated are just "ChatGPT but

over here."

ward, but if you aren't already thinking about how tivity application you might use everyday to share to connect LLMs with your proprietary data and organizational knowledge. An app like this might business context to actually drive differentiated offer a feature to execute commands like "Summarize this," "Make longer" or "Change tone" on That's not hyperbole. I've talked with half a dozen blocks of unstructured text. One command equals

Maybe the team decides to buy some AI credits, or maybe they just simply click over on the other If you feel like the starter gun has gone off, but your tab and ask ChatGPT. I don't want to completely team is still at the starting line stretching and chat- overlook or discount the benefit of not exposing proprietary data to ChatGPT, but it's also a smaller solution and vision than what's being painted on earnings calls across the country.



That pesky middle step from concept to value. Image courtesy of Joe Reis on Substack.

So consider: What's your GenAl differentiator and value add? Let me give you a hint: high-quality proprietary data.

That's why a RAG model (or sometimes, a fine tuned model) is so important for Gen AI initiatives. It gives the LLM access to that enterprise proprietary data. I'll explain why below.

Hard truth #2: You're scared to do more with Gen Al.



It's true: generative AI is intimidating. feels risky. Let's face it: ChatGPT hallucinates and out first. it can't be predicted. There's a knowledge cutoff Grounding LLMs in your proprietary data with fine put. There are legal repercussions to data mishan- it's not easy... dlings and providing consumers misinformation, even if accidental.



Sounds real enough, right? Llama 2 sure thinks so. Image courtesy of Pinecone.

Your data mishaps have consequences. And that's why it's essential to know exactly what you're feeding GenAl and that the data is accurate.

In an anonymous survey we sent to data leaders asking how far away their team is from enabling a GenAl use case, one response was, "I don't think our infrastructure is the thing holding us back. We're treading guite cautiously here - with the landscape moving so fast, and the risk of reputational damage from a 'rogue' chatbot, we're holding fire and waiting for the hype to die down a bit!"

This is a widely shared sentiment across many data leaders I speak to. If the data team has suddenly surfaced customer-facing, secure data, then they're on the hook. Data governance is a massive consideration and it's a high bar to clear.

These are real risks that need solutions, but you

won't solve them by sitting on the sideline. There Sure, you could integrate your AI model more is also a real risk of watching your business being deeply into your organization's processes, but that fundamentally disrupted by the team that figured it

that leaves users susceptible to out-of-date out- tuning and RAG is a big piece to this puzzle, but

Hard truth #3: RAG is hard.

I believe that RAG (retrieval augmented generation) and fine tuning are the centerpieces of the future of enterprise generative AI. But although RAG is the simpler approach in most cases, developing RAG apps can still be complex.

↔ 18 ♂	Posted by uPrize-Flow-3197 3 months ago Q Why is Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) not everywhere? Tooling
	I'm relatively new to the world of large languages models and I'm currently hiking up the learning curve.
	RAG is a seemingly cheap way of customising LLMs to query and generate from specified document bases. Essentially, semantically-relevant documents are retrieved via vector similarity and then injected into an LLM prompt (in-context learning). You can basically talk to your own documents without fine tuning models. See here: http://docs.aws.amazon.com/sagemaker/latest/dg/umpstart-foundation- models-customize-rag.html
	This is exactly what many businesses want. Frameworks for RAG do exist on both Azure and AWS (+open source) but anecdotally the adoption doesn't seem that mature. Hardly anyone seems to know about it.
	What am I missing? Will RAG soon become commonplace and I'm just a bit ahead of the curve? Or are there practical considerations that I'm overlooking? What's the catch?
	\bigcirc 31 Comments \rightarrow Share \bigcirc Save \cdots

Can't we all just start RAGing? What's the big deal? Image courtesy of Reddit.

RAG might seem like the obvious solution for customizing your LLM. But RAG development comes with a learning curve, even for your most talented data engineers. They need to know prompt engineering, vector databases and embedding vectors, data modeling, data orchestration, data pipelines... all for RAG. And, because it's new (introduced by Meta AI in 2020), many companies just don't yet have enough experience with it to establish best

CompuNet 35 (May - 2024)

practices.



RAG application architecture. Image courtesy of Databricks.

Here's an oversimplification of RAG application architecture:

1. RAG architecture combines information retrieval with a text generator model, so it has access to your database while trying to answer a question from the user.

2. The database has to be a trusted source that includes proprietary data, and it allows the model to incorporate up-to-date and reliable information into its responses and reasoning.

3. In the background, a data pipeline ingests various structured and unstructured sources into the database to keep it accurate and up-to-date.

4. The RAG chain takes the user query (text) and I believe all of these features have a good chance sponse.

There are a lot of complexities in this architecture, of your generative AI initiative?

but it does have important benefits:

1. It grounds your LLM in accurate proprietary data. thus making it much more valuable.

2. It brings your models to your data rather than bringing your data to your models, which is a relatively simple, cost-effective approach.

We can see this becoming a reality in the modern data stack. The biggest players are working at a breakneck speed to make RAG easier by serving LLMs within their environments, where enterprise data is stored.

Snowflake Cortex now enables organizations to quickly analyze data and build AI apps directly in Snowflake. Databricks' new Foundation Model APIs provide instant access to LLMs directly within Databricks. Microsoft released Microsoft Azure OpenAl Service and Amazon recently launched the Amazon Redshift Query Editor.



retrieves relevant data from the database, then of driving high adoption. But, they also heighten the passes that data and the query to the LLM in order focus on data quality in these data stores. If the to generate a highly accurate and personalized re- data feeding your RAG pipeline is anomalous, outdated, or otherwise untrustworthy, what's the future



Hard truth #4: Your data isn't ready Who should be on an Al tiger team? Leadership, yet anyway.

Take a good, hard look at your data infrastructure. Chances are if you had a perfect RAG pipeline, fine tuned model, and clear use case ready to go tomorrow (and wouldn't that be nice?), you still wouldn't have clean, well-modeled datasets to plug it all into.

Let's say you want your chatbot to interface with a customer. To do anything useful, it needs to know about that organization's relationship with the customer. If you're an enterprise organization today, that relationship is likely defined across 150 data sources and 5 siloed databases... 3 of which are still on-prem.

If that describes your organization, it's possible you are a year (or two!) away from your data infrastructure being GenAl ready.

Which means if you want the option to do something with GenAl someday soon, you need to be creating useful, highly reliable, consolidated, welldocumented datasets in a modern data platform ... yesterday. Or the coach is going to call you into the game and your pants are going to be down.

Your data engineering team is the backbone for the challenge. ensuring data health. And, a modern data stack Take a step back to understand the customer enables the data engineering team to continuously needs an AI model can solve, bring data engimonitor data quality into the future.

Gen Al players without knowing it.

Generative AI is a team sport, especially when it stream of high-guality, reliable data. comes to development. Many data teams make the And, invest in a modern data stack to make data tiger teams, and that's costing them in the long run. high-quality data is just a whole lotta' fluff.

or a primary business stakeholder, to spearhead the initiative and remind the group of the business value. Software engineers to develop the code, the user facing application and the API calls. Data scientists to consider new use cases, fine tune your models, and push the team in new directions. Who's missing here?

Data engineers.

Data engineers are critical to GenAl initiatives. They're going to be able to understand the proprietary business data that provides the competitive advantage over a ChatGPT, and they're going to build the pipelines that make that data available to the LLM via RAG.

If your data engineers aren't in the room, your tiger team is not at full strength. The most pioneering companies in GenAl are telling me they are already embedding data engineers in all development squads.

Winning the GenAl race

If any of these hard truths apply to you, don't worry. Generative AI is in such nascent stages that there's still time to start back over, and this time, embrace

neers into earlier development stages to secure a Hard truth #5: You've sidelined critical competitive edge from the start, and take the time to build a RAG pipeline that can supply a steady

mistake of excluding key players from their GenAl guality a priority. Because generative Al without

